Subnanometer-resolution electron cryomicroscopy-based domain models for the cytoplasmic region of skeletal muscle RyR channel
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The skeletal muscle Ca2+ release channel (RyR1), a homotetramer, regulates the release of Ca2+ from the sarcoplasmic reticulum to initiate muscle contraction. In this work, we have delineated the RyR1 monomer boundaries in a subnanometer-resolution electron cryomicroscopy (cryo-EM) density map. In the cytoplasmic region of each RyR1 monomer, 36 α-helices and 7 β-sheets can be resolved. A β-sheet was also identified close to the membrane-spanning region that resembles the cytoplasmic pore structures of inward rectifier K+ channels. Three structural folds, generated for amino acids 12–565 using comparative modeling and cryo-EM density fitting, localize close to regions implicated in communication with the voltage sensor in the transverse tubules. Eleven of the 15 disease-related residues for these domains are mapped to the surface of these models. Four disease-related residues are found in a basin at the interfaces of these regions, creating a pocket in which the immunophilin FKBP12 can fit. Taken together, these results provide a structural context for both channel gating and the consequences of certain malignant hyperthermia and central core disease-associated mutations in RyR1.
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Calcium is a major messenger that mediates a variety of cell functions including muscle contraction, brain functions, chemical senses, light transduction, cell proliferation, fertilization, secretion, and immune response. Ca2+ signaling is based on ability of cells to maintain low levels of Ca2+ (∼10−7 M) under resting conditions and to create a rapid, transient increase of Ca2+ (∼10−6 M) upon the stimulated entry of Ca2+ through the plasma membrane and/or induction of Ca2+ release from intracellular Ca2+ storage organelles such as endoplasmic reticulum/sarcoplasmic reticulum.

Ryanodine receptors (RyR) are members of the Ca2+ release channel superfamily, which includes intracellular tetrameric channels activated by different mechanisms. RyR1 functions primarily as a Ca2+ release channel in the sarcoplasmic reticulum membrane of skeletal muscle. The largest known ion channel (∼2.3 MDa), RyR1 is composed of four identical subunits arranged around a centrally located Ca2+ pathway. Each subunit is organized into two principal regions: a transmembrane (TM) region and a cytoplasmic (CY) region (1, 2). Membrane-spanning portions of each subunit form an ion-conducting pore whereas the CY region regulates gating via interaction with a variety of intracellular messengers, including immunophilin, FKBP12, calmodulin, ATP, Ca2+, and Mg2+ ions. Many mutations found in the CY region of RyR1 are known to affect its gating and underlie diseases such as malignant hyperthermia (MH) and central core disease (CCD) (3). Thus, elucidating the molecular mechanisms by which various stimuli are received in the CY region and regulate the channel gating will be instrumental in discovering means to treat these diseases.

Previously, we were able to determine the structure of RyR1 in a closed conformation to 9.6-Å resolution using single-particle electron cryomicroscopy (cryo-EM) (4). A similar structure of RyR1 was also reported by Samso et al. (5). In this work, we extend our analysis of RyR1 and exploit computational methods to determine plausible mechanisms by which cellular modulators control the channel.

Results

Segmentation of Individual Subunits. Given the large size of RyR1 and the complex allosteric modulation of its gating by many cellular molecules, the channel is expected to be composed of multiple structural and/or functional domains. Although the quaternary structure of our subnanometer-resolution RyR1 map in the closed state is fully consistent with earlier observations at low resolution (6–8), our higher-resolution density map is sufficiently resolved to identify molecular boundaries between the individual subunits and to divide the CY region into 15 subregions per subunit [Fig. 1 and supporting information (SI Movie S1)]. The subregions are grouped into several morphologically distinct units previously referred to as the “clamps” (6–8), which are connected through the “handle” surrounding the central rim. The “column” regions consist of the bridging densities between the CY and the membrane-spanning regions (Figs. 1B and 2A). Segmentation of the TM region is also in agreement with the pore structure of the RyR1 channel proposed earlier (4).

Secondary Structure Elements in the CY Region. At subnanometer resolution, secondary structure elements can be determined by using the feature detection program SSEHunter (9). Our earlier analysis of the TM region revealed the positions of the pore-lining helix and the pore helix (4), which bore a remarkable similarity to those of the MthK channel (10). Extending our computational analysis to the CY region, 36 α-helices with various orientations and seven β-sheets (Fig. 2 A and B and...
Parallel to the membrane/cytoplasmic interface in the TM region (Fig. 2B). Helix 3 has been suggested to play an essential role in gating (4); a helix with a similar orientation close to the membrane surface (designated as the slide helix) was also seen in the KirBac1.1 crystal structure (11) (Fig. 2C). Analogous to Kir channels, subregion 12, containing β7, in RyR1 may play a regulatory role in channel gating by binding to cellular modulators yet to be determined.

**Pseudoatomic Model of N-Terminal Domains.** Because of the absence of a high-resolution structure for any domain in RyR1, we used comparative modeling to further interpret the structure. Using sequence and structural analysis (16–18), a structural homolog was identified with high confidence for two segments at the RyR1 N terminus: the ligand-binding suppressor domain [Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID code 1XZZ (19)] for residues Q12–S207 and the IP3-binding core region [PDB ID code 1N4K (20)] of the type 1 inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor (IP3R1) for residues G216–Y565 (Fig. 3A and Figs. S2 and S3). Initial comparative models for these segments (models 1 and 2, respectively) (Fig. 3A) were constructed with MODELLER 9.0 (21).

The N-terminal sequences of RyR1 have relatively low sequence identity to the template sequences (19% and 16% for models 1 and 2, respectively). Using standard techniques, such low sequence identity would likely result in inaccuracies in the sequence alignment, propagating model errors. Therefore, we applied a constrained homology modeling approach, MoulderEM, to iteratively optimize target–template alignment, the corresponding model, and its fit into the cryo-EM density map (22) (Fig. S2). The resulting models for the two segments were combined into a single model for the N-terminal region and further refined by energy minimization with the X-PLOR software package (Fig. S4) (23) and real-space cryo-EM fitting with Flex-EM (24). Although the overall fold was preserved in this refinement process, the final models were substantially altered to optimally match the cryo-EM density (Fig. 3A).

Most of model 1 (Fig. 3B and C and Movie S2) localizes to subregion 5 except for residues S74–Y103, which localize to subregion 3. The N- and C-terminal portions of model 2 (Fig. 3B) are found in subregions 9 and 7a, respectively. Our confidence in placement of the density corresponding to the N terminus of RyR1 within the cryo-EM map is substantiated by previous difference imaging with GST-RyR and GFP-RyR fusion proteins and antibody labeling (Fig. S5) (25–27). Model placement is further validated by the match of the β-sheets β5 and β6 and a long helix (α9) independently derived from the aforementioned SSEHunter results (Fig. 3C). Although there are five other helices in our models, they are two turns or shorter and thus would not normally be detectable at this resolution (Fig. 3C and Fig. S3A and B). Whereas localization of the N-terminal domain is consistent with our previous work (26), our current models differ from the previously proposed N-terminal model, based on an isocitrate dehydrogenase (4ICD) template. Updated structural databases and advances in fold recognition now predict a more accurate structural template resulting in the current models (Fig. 3).

**Mapping of MH- and CCD-Associated Mutations.** A number of mutations linked to MH and CCD are associated with enhanced sensitivity of the RyR1 channel to activators (3). As mentioned earlier, many of these mutations localize to the CY region and, in particular, to the N-terminal sequence of RyR1 that we have modeled. Using our models, the aforementioned mutations are mapped onto the cryo-EM density map of RyR1 in the closed state (4) (Figs. 4 and 5). Eleven of 15 disease-associated mutations would be surface-exposed when mapped to the density map. Four residues (E161, R164, R402, and I1404) would be

---

**Fig. 1.** A 9.6-Å resolution cryo-EM density map of RyR1 in closed state. The map is displayed at the threshold level corresponding to channel molecular mass of ~2.3 MDa and viewed from cytoplasm (A) and in a side view (B). Subregions are shown within one of the RyR1 subunits. The numbering of subregions is adopted according to a previous convention except with finer divisions (43). A subregion is interpreted as compact protein density, and the boundary of subregion tends to be weakly connected with its adjacent densities. A subregion may thus consist of a single/multiple protein fold or of a part of a protein fold. Poorly connected densities between subregions 6 and 8a and between 10 and 8b may be hinges between subregions with high local structural flexibility. The individual subregions are mapped into the distinct morphological units: clamp is formed by subregions 5, 7a, 7b, 8b, 9, and 10 from one monomer and by subregions 6 and 8a from adjacent subunit; handle is formed by subregions 3 and 4; the central rim is formed by subregions 1, 2a, and 2b; and column is formed by subregions 11 and 12.

**Movie S1** are identified in the CY region of each RyR1 subunit. Three sheets are found in the central part of the CY region: β1 and β2 sheets in subregions 1 and 2a form a central rim, and β3 in domain 4 is bridging the central rim with the clamp. The structure of the clamp is characterized by seven α-helices and three β-sheets (β4, β5, and β6), identified in subregions 8a, 5, and 9, respectively. Eight α-helices are in the column regions and maintain the connection between the TM and the CY regions.

Particularly notable is β-sheet (β7), located in the constricted part of the column region (subregion 12) connecting the TM and CY regions (Fig. 2A and B). β-Sheets at equivalent locations have been identified in the crystal structures of inward rectifier K+ channels (Kir channels) (11–14) and a cyclic nucleotide-modulated (HCN2) channel (15) (Fig. 2C and Fig. S1). In Kir channels, this β-sheet has been proposed to form a part of the cytoplasmic pore, which is connected to the inner pore. In RyR1, β7 sheet is connected via bridging densities to helix 3, which lies parallel to the membrane/cytoplasmic interface in the TM region (Fig. 2B). Helix 3 has been suggested to play an essential role in gating (4); a helix with a similar orientation close to the membrane surface (designated as the slide helix) was also seen in the KirBac1.1 crystal structure (11) (Fig. 2C). Analogous to Kir channels, subregion 12, containing β7, in RyR1 may play a regulatory role in channel gating by binding to cellular modulators yet to be determined.

**Pseudoatomic Model of N-Terminal Domains.** Because of the absence of a high-resolution structure for any domain in RyR1, we used comparative modeling to further interpret the structure. Using sequence and structural analysis (16–18), a structural homolog was identified with high confidence for two segments at the RyR1 N terminus: the ligand-binding suppressor domain [Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID code 1XZZ (19)] for residues Q12–S207 and the IP3-binding core region [PDB ID code 1N4K (20)] of the type 1 inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor (IP3R1) for residues G216–Y565 (Fig. 3A and Figs. S2 and S3). Initial comparative models for these segments (models 1 and 2, respectively) (Fig. 3A) were constructed with MODELLER 9.0 (21).

The N-terminal sequences of RyR1 have relatively low sequence identity to the template sequences (19% and 16% for models 1 and 2, respectively). Using standard techniques, such low sequence identity would likely result in inaccuracies in the sequence alignment, propagating model errors. Therefore, we applied a constrained homology modeling approach, MoulderEM, to iteratively optimize target–template alignment, the corresponding model, and its fit into the cryo-EM density map (22) (Fig. S2). The resulting models for the two segments were combined into a single model for the N-terminal region and further refined by energy minimization with the X-PLOR software package (Fig. S4) (23) and real-space cryo-EM fitting with Flex-EM (24). Although the overall fold was preserved in this refinement process, the final models were substantially altered to optimally match the cryo-EM density (Fig. 3A).

Most of model 1 (Fig. 3B and C and Movie S2) localizes to subregion 5 except for residues S74–Y103, which localize to subregion 3. The N- and C-terminal portions of model 2 (Fig. 3B) are found in subregions 9 and 7a, respectively. Our confidence in placement of the density corresponding to the N terminus of RyR1 within the cryo-EM map is substantiated by previous difference imaging with GST-RyR and GFP-RyR fusion proteins and antibody labeling (Fig. S5) (25–27). Model placement is further validated by the match of the β-sheets β5 and β6 and a long helix (α9) independently derived from the aforementioned SSEHunter results (Fig. 3C). Although there are five other helices in our models, they are two turns or shorter and thus would not normally be detectable at this resolution (Fig. 3C and Fig. S3 A and B). Whereas localization of the N-terminal domain is consistent with our previous work (26), our current models differ from the previously proposed N-terminal model, based on an isocitrate dehydrogenase (4ICD) template. Updated structural databases and advances in fold recognition now predict a more accurate structural template resulting in the current models (Fig. 3).

**Mapping of MH- and CCD-Associated Mutations.** A number of mutations linked to MH and CCD are associated with enhanced sensitivity of the RyR1 channel to activators (3). As mentioned earlier, many of these mutations localize to the CY region and, in particular, to the N-terminal sequence of RyR1 that we have modeled. Using our models, the aforementioned mutations are mapped onto the cryo-EM density map of RyR1 in the closed state (4) (Figs. 4 and 5). Eleven of 15 disease-associated mutations would be surface-exposed when mapped to the density map. Four residues (E161, R164, R402, and I1404) would be
faces of the RyR1 subunit are seen. Subregions are numbered. Note that densities corresponding to subregion 6 are missing at the chosen high threshold. Two subunits are shown as semitransparent surfaces with identified secondary structure elements. The mapping of MH- and CCD-associated mutations to the clamp subregions suggests that these mutations potentially could destabilize the domain structure and effect conformational motions in the clamp subregions. Furthermore, the subregions of RyR1 in the clamp are implicated in binding various cellular proteins including the immunophilin FKBP12, which is known to stabilize the closed state of the RyR1 channel (29, 30). The binding site for FKBP12 was previously localized to the clamp, proximal to the interface among subregions 3, 5, and 9 (31, 32) (Fig. 1). Given the putative location of the FKBP12-binding site within the 3D map of RyR1, the four MH/CCD-associated mutation sites (E161G, R164C, R402C, and I404M) exposed to the basin formed by subregions 3, 5, and 9 (Fig. 5) may disrupt the RyR1–FKBP12 interactions, thus altering the gating of the RyR1 channel.

Discussion

RyR1 is the largest known ion channel and is among the most challenging proteins to study using single-particle cryo-EM analysis. The use of computational methods for identifying secondary structure elements and comparative modeling combined with cryo-EM density fitting have allowed us to derive a molecular model for the N-terminal domains of RyR1 in the clamp subregions.

The IP$_3$-binding domain and the ligand-binding suppressor domain play an important and well-established role in the regulation of the IP$_3$ receptor channel function (28). The significance of the structural similarity within the N terminus of two Ca$^{2+}$ release channels, RyR1 and IP$_3$R1, is unclear because RyRs lack the ability to bind IP$_3$ (S.L.H., unpublished data). The structural similarity may, however, suggest a structurally conserved mechanism in this channel family for regulating channel activity yet to be determined in this region. Although this regulation mechanism may not yet be known, RyR1 channel opening is associated with changes in the conformations of both the TM and CY regions, and there appears to be a correlation between the conformation of the clamp and channel opening (6, 8). The mapping of MH- and CCD-associated mutations to the clamp subregions suggests that these mutations potentially could destabilize the domain structure and effect conformational motions in the clamp subregions associated with the channel gating.

Furthermore, the subregions of RyR1 in the clamp are implicated in binding various cellular proteins including the immunophilin FKBP12, which is known to stabilize the closed state of the RyR1 channel (29, 30). The binding site for FKBP12 was previously localized to the clamp, proximal to the interface among subregions 3, 5, and 9 (31, 32) (Fig. 1). Given the putative location of the FKBP12-binding site within the 3D map of RyR1, the four MH/CCD-associated mutation sites (E161G, R164C, R402C, and I404M) exposed to the basin formed by subregions 3, 5, and 9 (Fig. 5) may disrupt the RyR1–FKBP12 interactions, thus altering the gating of the RyR1 channel.

In addition, based on freeze fracture studies (33), these and other RyR1 clamp subregions have been implicated to be involved in allosteric modulation of the channel gating through interaction with the voltage-gated L-type Ca$^{2+}$ channel (also known as dihydropyridine receptor) in the transverse tubule membrane. As such, the RyR1 N-terminal regions may play a role in signal transduction from the dihydropyridine receptor to the TM region.

Using a combination of computational tools, we have been able to provide a structural framework for RyR1, which will help in the design of future experiments to explore the roles of the various domains of RyR1 in channel function and regulation. The structural similarities observed between RyR1 and other ion channels in both the CY and TM domains (4, 5) are striking, and future structures of these channels complexed with cellular regulators should provide more details concerning the mechanisms of cellular signaling in the process of muscle contraction.

Materials and Methods

Cryo-EM and Image Processing. Specimen preparation, cryo-EM imaging, and 3D reconstruction were described in detail previously (4).
Y565) share a fold with the IP$_3$-binding core of the IP$_3$R1 channel (PDB ID code 1N4K, sequence identity 16%) (Figs. S2 and S3) (20).

Model Building and Refinement with Moulder-EM. Two comparative models of residues Q12–S207 (model 1) and G216–Y565 (model 2) were independently calculated based on sequence structure alignment generated with the program FUGUE, version 2.0 (36) (to 1XZZ and to 1N4K, respectively), using the automodel class in MODELLER 9.0 (Figs. S2 and S3) (21). Fold assessment was performed by using a newly developed protocol optimized specifically for predicting the accuracy of a model in the absence of its native structure (D.E., N. Eswar, M.-Y. Shen, and A.S., unpublished observations). The protocol constructs a model-specific scoring function using a support vector machine, which optimizes the weights of sequence similarity measures and statistical potentials extracted from a tailored training set of models of similar size and the same secondary structure composition as the model being assessed. Models predicted to have native overlap values of $\geq 0.3$ or greater are expected to have the correct fold; native overlap is defined as the fraction of C$_\alpha$ atoms in a model that are within 3.5 Å of the corresponding atoms in the native structure after rigid body superposition of the model to the native structure. The predicted native overlap values for model 1 and model 2 were 0.4 and 0.28, respectively, indicating that both folds are likely correct and that the models are of relatively low accuracy.

These initial models were localized to the cryo-EM density by using the exhaustive fitting program FoldHunter (37), available as a plugin through the

### Segmentation of Cryo-EM 3D Map

Segmentation of individual RyR1 subunits was initially accomplished by using an automated program called segment3d included in the EMAN package (34). The Amira visualization software package (TGS) was used to perform the final, manual segmentation. The segmentation was quite clear over most of the map, particularly in the TM region; however, in the CY region there were several points at which the separation between subunits was ambiguous depending on the parameters used.

### Identification of Secondary Structure Elements

$\alpha$-Helices and $\beta$-sheets in the RyR1 cryo-EM density map were identified by using SSEHunter (9), which identifies $\alpha$-helices equal to or longer than two turns and $\beta$-sheets equal to or larger than two strands. Secondary structure elements were also visually assessed, and only those elements identified by using both quantitative and visual methods are presented in the final interpretation.

### Target Identification

In the sequence homology detection for RyR1 [National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) protein sequence ID gi: 134134] residues M1–L600 was first attempted using a HMM–HMM comparison with HHpred (18). The analysis revealed significant structural similarity to two crystal structures (100% probability, $E = 0$), both of which contain a $\beta$-trefoil fold domain (35). Additional support came from the threading program mGenThreader (16), which identified the same two homologs with very high confidence ($P$-values are 2e-05 and 9e-07). Residues Q12–S207 share a fold with the ligand binding suppressor domain (PDB ID code 1XZZ, sequence identity 19%) (19). Residues G216–Y565 share a fold with the IP$_3$-binding core of the IP$_3$R1 channel (PDB ID code 1N4K, sequence identity 16%) (Figs. S2 and S3) (20).

---
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Energy Minimization. Two parts of model 2 (residues G216–T407 shown in yellow and residues A408–Y565 shown in red in Fig. S4) were built and refined independently to obtain better fits to the cryo-EM map. When taken together, there were steric clashes as well as a break in the main chain at the interface (residues E396–P430, highlighted with green in Fig. S4) between the N-terminal and the C-terminal portions of model 2. To eliminate these steric clashes and connect the main chain of model 2, the helix encompassing residues G409–D419 (the lower part of the broken helix shown in green in Fig. S4) was manually moved to a nearby position to avoid any clashes with other neighboring residues while maintaining a good fit to the cryo-EM density map. Afterward, residues E396–P430 (highlighted in green in Fig. S4), including helices G409–D419 and S422–S428 and adjacent connecting residues, were energy-minimized with X-PLOR (23) by using default parameters of the CHARMM united-atom force field (version 19) (42). The constrained structures were subjected to 12,000 steps of Powell energy minimization. Finally, the entire model was subjected to a real-space refinement within the density using Flex-EM (24), where the domains were defined as rigid bodies. The final cross-correlation score between the fitted model and the density map (38) and an atomic statistical potential score (39).

Residues A311–E343 were excluded from model 2 because of lack of a structural template for this region; no loop modeling for this region was performed because of the large size of the sequence. For each of the loop regions A26–L35, A251–E263, and R493–S514 (which had relative low sequence similarity to the corresponding templates) 500 models were generated by the loopmodel class in MODELLER 9.0 (21, 40). The best loop model was chosen by a combination of the DOPE statistical potential score (41) and the cross-correlation score between the fitted model and the density map (22) and an atomic statistical potential score (39).
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